Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/931,271	DICKINSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Fuller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 15, 27 Prior art documents discussed: Brown et al U.S. Patent No. 6,143,496 Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)
÷ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		J 11 1 /

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner and Mr. Fuller discussed the pending claims in view of the art cited in the Final Office Action and Brown et al. The examiner stated that the amendments submitted with the RCE overcome the art cited in the Office Action. The examiner stated that the amended claims read on the Brown et al patent. Mr. Fuller and the examiner discussed amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance in view of Brown et al. Amendments were agreed upon and will be entered in an examiner's amendment.